

CRM-M-45851-2022

Date of Decision: 30.9.2022

Makhan Din

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJPresent: Mr. Vikramjeet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. M.K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.**Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of direction to respondent No.4 for not to harass the petitioner by calling him at the Police Station without assigning any reason and without adopting the proper procedure of law under Section 160 Cr.P.C. at the instance of respondents No.7 to 12 and further to decide the representation dated 20.8.2022 in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.7 is a habitual litigant and keeps on filing frivolous complaints against him, which were investigated also. He submits that now again he has filed one complaint against him.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Learned State counsel has submitted that this Court vide order dated 14.9.2022 has already given direction for submitting cancellation report in the case which was filed at the behest of Mohammad Sharif-respondent No.7. On instructions from ASI Kartar Singh, he has submitted that cancellation report has already been prepared in this case.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent No.3 and move representation for redressal of his grievances and the said respondent i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur will deal with the same in accordance with law.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

30.9.2022
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No