

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(211)

CRM-M-49071-2021

Date of Decision: 09.03.2022

Harpreet Vinayak

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ.

Present:- Mr. Arjun Attri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. M.K. Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ.J (Oral)

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.72 dated 27.9.2021 registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC at Police Station, Women, District Patiala.

By virtue of the order dated 23.11.2021 while granting interim protection, the petitioner was directed to join investigation.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that in pursuance to the order dated 23.11.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation and fully cooperated and thus, the interim order may kindly be made absolute.

Learned counsel for the complainant has however opposed the submissions made by counsel for petitioner and submits that recovery of dowry articles remains to be effected.

Learned State counsel has endorsed the same and submitted that petitioner is no more required for further investigation. However, she submits that recovery of some dowry articles remains to be effected.

In view of the above, the order dated 23.11.2021 is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C and the petition stands allowed.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

09.03.2022

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No