

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(129)

CWP No. 26603 of 2022

Date of Decision : 21.11.2022

Mamraj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently, while filing the present petition, the petitioner could not mention that even if the appeal was filed after a delay, the delay be condoned keeping in view the facts and circumstances due to which the same could not be preferred within the time limit prescribed hence, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action with better particulars.

Ordered accordingly.

November 21, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No