

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(120)

CWP No. 22271 of 2022

Date of Decision : 27.09.2022

Satyabir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that petitioner was not given the marks for the Board result though, he was entitled for the same and the total marks, which the petitioner secured under the transfer policy is 52.7479 whereas, while effecting the transfer of the petitioner, 48.7562 marks have been taken into consideration, which act of the respondents is totally arbitrary and illegal. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the data for the grant of marks was updated by the petitioner but the said updated data has not been taken into account on the ground that the same has been uploaded after the last date, whereas the respondents themselves asked for the auction for allotment of a station up to 24.08.2022.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, learned Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel submits that in case the petitioner has any

grievance with regard to non-updating of the portal within the timeframe specified and the petitioner raises the said grievance along with the supporting documents, the said grievance will be looked into by the authorities concerned and appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of two weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents.

Ordered accordingly.

September 27, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No