

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-24803-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:09.03.2022**

Harjit Singh & others

... Petitioners

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh & another

... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA.**

Present:- Mr. R.S. Guron, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate for the respondents.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

CM-580-CWP-2022:

Application is allowed as prayed for.

The accompanying documents at Annexures P-9 to P-14 are
taken on record.

Application is disposed of.

Main case:

Petitioners seek a mandamus directing the respondent/ authorities under the U.T. Chandigarh Administration to transfer the ownership of site i.e. SCO No.80-81-82, Sector-17-D, Chandigarh in the names of the petitioners to the extent of their respective shares reflected in the transfer deed placed on record at Annexures P-1 to P-3 as also on the basis of a Will.

The afore noticed prayer is founded on the strength of a Policy dated 23.04.2014 (Annexure P-4) and thereafter modified on 18.11.2018 (Annexure P-5).

Mr. R.S. Guron, learned counsel representing the petitioners asserts that inspite of the respondent/authorities having been approached repeatedly, the request for transfer of ownership has not been dealt with and the same is still pending.

Since an advance copy of the writ paper book had already been served upon the respondents, Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2. It is conceded by Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate that the request of the petitioners seeking transfer of the shares in the site in question is pending consideration as of date. He submits that such request/representation would be examined in accordance with law and a final decision thereupon would be taken within a period of six weeks from today and would be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

Statement is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

Writ petition is disposed of.

It is clarified that we have not examined the claim of the petitioners as raised in the instant writ petition on merits.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

09.03.2022
harjeet

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |