

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(125)

CWP-23648-2022

Date of Decision : October 17, 2022

Sunita Kumari

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ajit Sihag, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred vide order dated 11.09.2022 (Annexure P-2) but the same is contrary to the provisions of the teacher transfer policy. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the transfer will disturb the family circumstances of the petitioner as the petitioner is to maintain a minor child as well as the ailing mother-in-law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by filing a representation dated 18.09.2022 (Annexure P-3), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 18.09.2022 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation dated 18.09.2022 (Annexure P-3), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 18.09.2022 (Annexure P-3) within a period of four weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

October 17, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No