

209A

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44728-2022

Date of decision : 20.12.2022

MUKESH PANCHARIA

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Anshuman Dala, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, D.A.G, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.07 dated 10.01.2022 under Sections 22(c), 25 and 29 of the NDPS Act at Police Station Khuian Sarwar, District Fazilka wherein the petitioner has been summoned to face Trial by the Trial Court vide order dated 11.05.2022 (Annexure P-2).

On 27.09.2022, the following order was passed:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case registered against him vide FIR No.7 dated 10.01.2022 under Section 22(c), 25 and 29 of the NDPS Act registered at Police Station Khuian Sarwar, District Fazilka wherein the petitioner has been summoned to face trial by the Trial Court vide order dated 11.05.2022 (Annexure P-2).

The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that as per the allegations it was the

petitioner who is a licensed chemist, who was supposed to supply the medicines, in question to Sukhwinder Kaur. He contends that said Sukhwinder Kaur has been granted the concession of interim bail vide order dated 14.08.2022 passed by this Court (Annexure P-7).

Notice of motion for 20.12.2022.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Trial Court within a week from today and on his doing so, he shall be released on interim bail subject to its satisfaction.

To be heard with CRM-M-41931-2022.”

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has appeared and has since been released on interim bail vide order dated 30.09.2022 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fazilka. The copy of the said is marked as 'A'.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

Disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

20.12.2022
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No