

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

FAO-7843-2016

Date of Decision:-31.08.2022

Naresh Kumar

...Appellant

Versus

Anju

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Yashvinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate
for the appellant.

Respondent-wife in person with
Mr. Manvendra Chauhan, Advocate.

RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is not in his touch and despite many efforts, he is not responding.

Learned counsel for the respondent has informed the Court that the matter has already been resolved between the parties as per compromise deed dated 16.3.2021. Learned counsel for the respondent has placed on record the copy of the compromise. The same is taken on record.

Pursuant to the said compromise, statement of Naresh Kumar has been recorded on 16.3.2021 by the Court of Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Sonapat, wherein he has admitted that the matter has been

compromised between them and he will abide by the terms and conditions of the compromise deed Ex.C1/A. Vide order dated 16.3.2021 the application under Section 125 Cr.P.C. was dismissed as withdrawn. Statements of both the parties recorded and the compromise effected are taken on record as Annexures R1, R2 and R3 respectively.

In view of the aforestated development and since the counsel for the appellant has no instructions, the present appeal is hereby dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

August 31, 2022
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No