

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11157-2021

Date of Decision: 20.01.2022

Anoop Sood

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Additional PP, UT, Chandigarh.

(Through Video Conferencing)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present petition, though a number of prayers have been made, but the petitioner is only pressing the prayer with regard to issuance of directions to respondent-authorities to pass appropriate orders on the complaints which the petitioner has preferred with regard to the grievances raised therein in a time bound manner by passing the appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the UT, Chandigarh, submits that after taking into consideration the allegations alleged in the complaints, the Authorities have already decided that the grievances raised in those complaints are not maintainable before them, being a pure civil dispute between the concerned parties. He further submits that the said

decisions have already been conveyed to the petitioner, and a copy of the

same will be handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner within a period of two days from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the submissions of learned State counsel, the petitioner may kindly be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail the appropriate remedy for redressal of his grievances including the order passed by the State on the complaints preferred.

Dismissed as withdrawn as prayed for with the liberty aforesaid.

20.01.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No