

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CWP-22798-2022
Date of decision:30.09.2022

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board and another

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. Sahil Goel, Advocate and
Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

It is not denied that against the impugned order dated 02.09.2022 (P-5), the petitioner has preferred an appeal (Annexure P-6) before respondent No.1-Chief Administrator, Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board, Panchkula.

Without in any way commenting on the claim of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a request to respondent No.1 to decide the appeal of the petitioner expeditiously preferably within a period of three months.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

30.09.2022
rashmi

1.Whether reportable?
2.Whether reasoned / speaking?

Yes / No
Yes / No