

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

222

**CWP No.25238 of 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.03.2022**

Balwan Singh Saini

....Petitioner.

Versus

Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran and others ...Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Kundra, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.

Tejinder Singh Dhindsa, J.(Oral)

It may be noticed that even on the previous effective date of hearing i.e. 13.11.2019, no representation had been caused on behalf of the petitioner.

That apart, on the previous date i.e. 13.11.2019, directions had been issued for furnishing of correct address of unserved respondent No.5.

Office report would reveal that even such directions have not been complied with till date.

It appears to us that counsel for the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant writ petition.

Writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

**(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE**

09.03.2022

D.Gulati

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No