

Gurjit Singh and Ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

Hussan Lal, IAS Secretary to Govt. of Punjab

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for taking action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 18.07.2014 in CWP No.1076 of 2014.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 18.07.2014 reveals that CWP No.1076 of 2014 was disposed of by directing the respondent to look into the grievance of the petitioners raised by them in their legal notice dated 15.04.2013 keeping in view order dated 02.07.2014 passed in CWP No.2605 of 1998 and decide the same by passing appropriate orders in accordance with law within six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Reply along with speaking order, Annexure R/1 dated 29.06.2020 has been filed by the learned AAG. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal of the same states that in view of pendency of SLP before Hon'ble the Supreme Court, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioners to move an application for

revival of the contempt petition, if the circumstances of the case so warrant on decision of the SLP.

[4] The aforesaid course of action is not opposed to by learned AAG.

[5] Accordingly, in view of the position as noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 at this stage while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

[6] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

07.04.2022
'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>