

229 (3 Cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 08.08.2022

(I) CWP-7946-2018 (O&M)

Puja

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

(II) CWP-22528-2019

Puja

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

(III) CWP-7522-2020 (O&M)

Puja

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Randhir S.Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate, assisted by
Mr. Sachmeet Singh Randhawa, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Vide this common order, three petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being disposed of as common question of law arises in all of them and for the purpose of passing this order, the facts are being taken from CWP-7946-2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present case, claim of the petitioner is that she be re-designated as Professor, with effect from 03.02.2016, i.e. the date when she completed four years service experience as Associate Professor by the respondent-Department, keeping in view the rules governing the services of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that though the respondents have already passed an order dated 31.01.2021, extending the aforesaid benefit to the petitioner, but two grievances of the petitioner still remain to be redressed, out of which, the first grievance is that the petitioner has been granted the grade pay starting from Rs.9,500/-, whereas some of the professors, including respondent No.4, are getting grade pay starting from Rs.10,000/-, which benefit has been denied to the petitioner and the second grievance is that the petitioner is not being extended the consequential benefits with regard to the re-designated post of Professor by the respondents.

Learned State counsel on instructions from the Department concerned submits that the grade pay of Rs.10,000/- was inadvertently extended to respondent No.4 and withdrawal of the said benefit is already under consideration of the authorities concerned. With regard to the grant of consequential benefits in respect of the re-designated post of Professor of the petitioner, learned State counsel submits that if any of the said benefits

have not been extended to the petitioner so far, then in case the petitioner approaches the authorities concerned by way of filing of an appropriate representation in this regard, the same will be considered in accordance with law and appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of such representation.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the submissions of learned State counsel, as recorded hereinbefore, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

**CM-7772-CWP-2018, CM-1056-CWP-2019,
CM-13029-CWP-2021 & CM-4856-CWP-2020:**

As the main petitions are disposed of as not pressed, therefore, the present applications also stand disposed of as such.

08.08.2022
Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No