

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

**CRWP-9275-2022
Decided on :29.10.2022**

Surmukh Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr.Vishal Khatri, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr.Arjun Sheoran, DAG, Punjab.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for grant of parole on the ground that his marriage was fixed with one Praveen Kaur.

On 27.09.2022, the following order was passed:

“Parole is sought on the ground of marriage, which is stated to be fixed for 18.10.2022, as per the affidavit of Parveen Kaur (Annexure P-6), lady with whom his marriage is stated to be solemnized, is also attached. It is not disputed that the appeal has been dismissed and the matter is being upheld by the Apex Court.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab for the respondent accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Let custody certificate be filed by the learned State counsel to confirm the antecedent of the petitioner. Learned State counsel also make efforts to confirm any such ceremony is slated to be fixed and also record the statement of Parveen Kaur in what circumstances, she is agreed to enter into matrimonial reliance with the petitioner who is yet to undergo 4 ½ years or he is released on completion of his sentence.

Adjourned to 11.10.2022.”

Status report dated 13.10.2022 filed today would go on to show that Praveen Kaur, in her statement recorded on 11.10.2022 (Annexure R-2) has stated that she could be given 2-3 months time to think whether it is a right decision to marry the petitioner.

In such circumstances, once there is a doubt in the mind of the prospective bride, no ground is made out for grant of parole. Resultantly, the present petition is dismissed.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

29.10.2022
sailesh

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No