

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

124

CR-3482-2021

Date of decision: 11.07.2022

SANJAY KUMAR AND ORS

..Petitioners

Versus

JANTA PUSTAKALYA BAWAL TRUST

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioners are the tenants. The Authorities below have revised the rent from Rs.82/- per month to Rs.92/- per month.

This Bench has heard the learned counsel representing the petitioners.

He submits that previously the property in question was owned by Janta Pustakalya Bawal Trust, a registered Society which has never been dissolved. He submits that the petition filed under Section 4 of the Haryana Urban (Control of Rent and Eviction) Act, 1973, is not maintainable.

It is evident from the reading of the judgment passed by the Appellate Authority that the Society was dissolved by passing a resolution and Janta Pustakalya Bawal Trust stepped into the shoes of the Society.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner relies upon the judgment passed in **PDM Religious and Educational Association and others Vs. State of Haryana Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh and others, 2022(1) SCT 59**. In that case, the validity of territorial jurisdiction of a private university set up under the Haryana Private University Act, 2006, was the primary question.

Hence, the judgment is not applicable to the present case.

In view thereof, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

July 11th, 2022

Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*