

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.113

**Civil Writ Petition No.24707 of 2021
Date of Decision : March 29, 2022**

Satpal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The private respondent has been appointed as Chowkidar.
Appeal there against has been dismissed.

The Appellate Court has held that merits of both candidates are almost similar but the revenue authorities have recommended the name of respondent No.4 and the Gram Panchayat has also passed a resolution in his favour and thus, the Sub-Divisional Magistrate was justified in appointing respondent No.4 as Chowkidar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the vernacular of the resolution (Annexure P-8) shows that there is over-writing in the number and in the date. Thus, the same could not have been relied upon.

No such argument has been raised before the Courts below and thus, any objection to the said resolution is deemed to have been waived. Moreover, a Constitutional Court in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India does not examine order regarding

appointment of Chowkidars unless there is a perversity. No perversity has been pointed out and thus, the writ petition has no merit.

It is accordingly dismissed.

March 29, 2022

Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No