

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.9203 of 2022

Date of Decision: 30.09.2022

Monika and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, while submitting that as regards the prayer of the petitioners qua the protection of their lives and liberty, they (petitioners) would move a fresh representation to respondent No.3-Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, exclusively praying therein for the said protection only and so far as the remaining relief as sought by them in this petition is concerned, they would resort to the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to them under law, for this purpose and he further prays that respondent No.3 be directed to look into and take appropriate action on the fresh representation of the petitioners within some specific time frame.

2. Ms. Ambika Sood, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 7 in this case

in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

3. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn with a direction to respondent No.3 that in case, the petitioners move any fresh representation to him restricting their prayer therein to the protection of their lives and liberty only, he shall look into the same and if the petitioners would be found to be genuinely deserving the said protection, then he would take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of two weeks.

4. It is further clarified that this order shall not be construed to be a shield to the petitioners against any civil or criminal action already initiated or intended/contemplated to be initiated against them by any competent authority/person in view of the dispute between them and the private respondents and permissible under the relevant provisions of law.

September 30, 2022
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>