

255

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.37935 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.03.2022

Parwinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for cancellation of bail granted to respondent No.2 in FIR No.112 dated 01.12.2018 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Vairoke, District Fazilka, vide order dated 06.08.2019 passed in CRM-M No.11442 of 2019.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and, therefore, the bail be cancelled.

Counsel for respondent No.2 has argued that after framing of the charge, the evidence is being recorded and the respondent No.2 has not misused the concession of anticipatory bail.

After hearing the counsel for the parties, I find that none of the grounds seeking for cancellation of bail are either pleaded or set up

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

by the petitioner. There is no allegation that after granting of anticipatory bail, the respondent No.2 has misused the same or extended any threat to the prosecution witnesses. It is also not the case of the petitioner that respondent No.2 has absented from the Court proceedings intentionally.

Accordingly, no ground for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2 is made out.

Dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

03.03.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No