

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(269)

CRM-M-48619-2021.

Date of Decision:-05.04.2022.

Anil Hiralal Nenwani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Satish Saini, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioner although has joined but is not cooperating.

Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail.

However, yesterday the matter was listed and while the matter was being heard, learned counsel for the petitioner offered in Court to deposit a sum of Rs.10,00,000/-. On this, the Court had asked the complainant to explore possibility of compromise.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the compromise could not take place.

Be that as it may. This is not a case of custodial investigation.

Given above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 12.01.2022 is made absolute.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

April 05, 2022.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No