

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(203)

CRM-M-44492-2022

Date of Decision : December 02, 2022

Harpal Singh @ Kala

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Singh Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunit Jain, Addl. P.P. for U.T., Chandigarh.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the present petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail but keeping in view the fact that out of 12 prosecution witnesses, 11 have already been examined and the petitioner is behind the bars for the last more than three years, the trial Court be directed to conclude the trial expeditiously so that the petitioner does not suffer further incarceration due to the pendency of the trial.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sumit Jain, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for respondent-State raises no objection with regard to the grant of said prayer.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Keeping in view the fact that only one witness is to be examined and the petitioner is behind the bars for the last more than three years, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of two months from the next date of hearing fixed before the trial Court even if the same is to be done by giving short adjournments.

The present petition is disposed of in above terms.

December 02, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No