

[103] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.3125 of 2019
Date of Decision : 27.05.2022

Harpreet Grewal d/o S. Gurmail
Singh Grewal and others ...Petitioners

versus

Dr. Manjit Singh Nijjar, Registrar,
Punjabi University, Patiala.Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Mukesh Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 10.04.2019, in CWP No.8394 of 2019 in case titled as '**Harpreet Grewal and others** versus **Punjabi University, Patiala**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that CWP No.8394 of 2019, was disposed of vide order dated 10.04.2019, by directing the respondent to examine the claim of the petitioners against the backdrop of legal notice dated 25.01.2019 and to pass a final order expeditiously and in any case within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent has placed on record copy of speaking order dated 12.06.2020 as per which, the petitioners have been held entitled to certain benefits and have also

been released the same. Speaking order dated 12.06.2020 is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal thereof states that benefits as per entitlement have not been released to the petitioners as per speaking order dated 12.06.2020, but in the circumstances, the petitioners do not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge speaking order dated 12.06.2020 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law to claim the benefits as per entitlement.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

27.05.2022

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No