

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

211

CRWP-10937-2021

Date of decision: 08.02.2022

SATPAL MANDLE

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present : Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of necessary directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect his life and liberty against danger from respondent 5 and his associates who are bent upon threatening and harassing him and are unnecessarily raiding his house without associating the local police and without getting the written permission to raid his house.

Vide order dated 18.11.2021, status report in the matter was called for.

In compliance of the said order, status report on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4 and 6 has already been filed.

In the status report, it has been mentioned that son-in-law of the petitioner namely Ashish Thakur was nominated as an accused in FIR No. 247 dated 20.10.2021 registered under Section 295-A of the

IPC at Police Station Division No. 3, Ludhiana to which Sections 153-A, 212, 216 of the IPC and Section 67 of the I.T. Act were added later on. The said son-in-law of the petitioner namely Ashish Thakur was required to be joined in the above said FIR and summons/notices were sent at his address who was not there at his house and thereafter summon/notice dated 11.11.2021 was taken to the house of the petitioner and local police was also joined on 11.11.2021 regarding which DDR No. 36 dated 11.11.2021 was also lodged at Police Station Basti Bawa Khel, Jalandhar.

Further, in the reply, it has been mentioned that it was revealed that son-in-law of the petitioner namely Ashish Thakur is residing in U.K. and statement of Ms. Vandana, daughter-in-law of the petitioner was also recorded in which she had stated that on 11.11.2021 police party had come to their house to enquire about the said Ashish Thakur and thereafter the police party went back.

In view of status report filed on behalf of the State, the petitioner is not being harassed by the police officials and only the son-in-law of the petitioner namely Ashish Thakur have to be joined in the investigation in the present FIR and since he has been residing in England his presence cannot be secured. It has further been submitted that no threat or harassment was ever caused to the petitioner.

In view of the above, no further action is required to be taken and the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

08.02.2022
kavneet singh

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No