

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.48492 of 2021
Date of Decision: 27.09.2022**

Shakuntla Devi

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Mahi Pal Yadav, Advocate, appearing for
Mr. P.K. Chugh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl. A.G, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on the instructions from the arguing counsel, seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, while submitting that the petitioner would avail the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to her under law, for seeking the redressal of her grievance as set-forth in the present petition.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

September 27, 2022
Yag Dutt

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*