

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Revision No.339 of 2021(O&M)

Date of Decision: April 19, 2022

Harbhajan Singh @ Bhajji

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

2.

Criminal Revision No.469 of 2022(O&M)

Date of Decision: April 19, 2022

Harinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

3.

Criminal Revision No.940 of 2021(O&M)

Date of Decision: April 19, 2022

Paramjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

4.

Criminal Revision No.1022 of 2020(O&M)

Date of Decision: April 19, 2022

Jujhar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr.R.S.Bains, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr.M.S.Chauhan, Advocate for
the petitioner in CRR-469-2022.

Mr.Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate for
the petitioner in CRR-339-2021.

Mr.Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate for
the petitioner in CRR-940-2021.

Mr.H.S.Dhindsa, Advocate for
the petitioner in CRR-1022-2020.

Mr.R.S.Khaira, DAG, Punjab.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

This judgment shall dispose of following Four Criminal
Revision petitions:

Case No.	Petitioner/accused
CRR-339-2021	Harbhajan Singh @ Bhajji
CRR-469-2022	Harminder Singh
CRR-940-2021	Paramjeet Singh
CRR-1022-2022	Jujhar Singh

All the aforesaid cases have arisen out of FIR No.146 dated
29.12.2008 under Sections 420/ 465/467/468/471/120-B IPC, Police Station
City Hoshiarpur.

Brief facts of the case are that a complaint was received by the
Police that some customers had committed fraud in the Main Branch of Co-
operative Bank, Hoshiarpur. The FIR was registered on the statement of
Gurdev Kaur, who stated that she had been working as Manager in the
aforesaid Bank. Few persons had opened new accounts in the Bank and
they were issued separate cheque books against their said accounts. It was
alleged that the said customers used to present the cheques of outer District
Banks in the name of above said accounts and after completing all the
formalities of the bank, they obtained drafts from the Banks. The drafts

were deposited in other banks and money withdrawn. On inquiry, it was revealed that the said drafts of different banks presented by the customers were never issued by the said banks. Ultimately, the complainant closed the said accounts. In this way, the bank was cheated for an amount of about Rs.2 Crores by preparing bogus drafts.

During investigation, the Police filed different challans. Petitioners Harbhajan Singh, Harminder Singh, Paramjeet Singh and Jujhar Singh were tried along with co-accused Sandeep Singh by the Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rupnagar in Challan Nos.RT-98, RT-99, RT-100, RT-101, RT-103, RT-104, RT-105, RT-106, RT-107 and RT-108. Vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 20.11.2018, all the aforesaid five accused were sentenced as under:-

<i>Name of accused</i>	<i>Under Section</i>	<i>Sentence</i>
Harminder Singh, Paramjit Singh, Harbhajan Singh @ Bhajji & Jujhar Singh	120-B IPC	To undergo RI for TWO YEARS and to pay fine of Rs.500/-. In default of payment of fine, they shall further undergo RI for Two Days, each.
	420 IPC	To undergo RI for FIVE YEARS and to pay fine of Rs.500/-. In default of payment of fine, they shall further undergo RI for Two Days, each.
	465 IPC	To undergo RI for TWO YEARS and to pay fine of Rs.200/-. In default of payment of fine, they shall further undergo RI for One day, each.
	467 IPC	To undergo RI for SIX YEARS and to pay fine of Rs.500/-. In default of payment of fine, they shall further undergo RI for Two Days, each.
	468 IPC	To undergo RI for FIVE YEARS and to pay fine of Rs.500/-. In default of payment of fine, they shall further undergo RI for Two Days, each.
	471 IPC	To undergo RI for TWO YEARS and to pay fine of Rs.200/-. In default of payment of fine, they shall further undergo RI for One Day, each.

<i>Name of accused</i>	<i>Under Section</i>	<i>Sentence</i>
Sandeep Kumar	120-B IPC	To undergo RI for TWO YEARS and to pay fine of Rs.500/-. In default of payment of fine, he shall further undergo RI for Two Days.
	465 IPC	To undergo RI for TWO YEARS and to pay fine of Rs.200/-. In default of payment of fine, he shall further undergo RI for One Day.

The Trial Court also ordered that “*all the sentences shall run concurrently with regard to substantive punishment awarded in this judgment as well as of previous judgment dated 26.10.2018 passed in the same FIR bearing Police Challan No.RT-102 dated 5.3.10/12.4.12, CIS No.Chi/17/2014 and CNR No.PBRO-03-001103-2010*”.

Separate appeals were filed by the accused – petitioners against the aforesaid judgment. All the appeals were dismissed by the Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Rupnagar vide common judgment dated 17.02.2020. The judgment and order of the Trial Court were upheld and affirmed. Hence, the present revision petitions.

Mr.R.S.Bains, Ld. Senior Advocate assisted by Mr.M.S.Chauhan, Mr.Bhupinder Ghai, Mr.Ram Kumar Saini and Mr.H.S.Dhindsa, Ld. counsel for the accused – petitioners, without contesting the concurrent findings of the Courts below regarding the guilt of the petitioners, have prayed for taking a lenient view considering that the petitioners are never involved in any other case except the present FIR. They are the sole bread earners of their families. There is none else to look after their families.

The maximum sentence of imprisonment awarded to the

petitioners is Six Years. As per the latest custody certificates placed on record by the Ld. State Counsel, as on 19.04.2022, the petitioners have undergone the sentence as under :

Name of Petitioner	Period of sentence already undergone
Harbhajan Singh @ Bhajji	03 Years 07 Months 19 Days
Harminder Singh	04 Years 11 Months 18 Days
Paramjeet Singh	03 Years 01 Months 02 Days
Jujhar Singh	03 Years 07 Months 02 Days

On consideration of the totality of the facts and circumstances of this case and also bearing in mind the custody period undergone by the petitioners, all Four Revision Petitions are partly allowed to the extent that the conviction of the petitioners (**Harbhajan Singh @ Bhajji, Harminder Singh, Paramjit Singh and Jujhar Singh**) is maintained but their sentence of imprisonment is reduced to the period already undergone by them. However, there is no alteration in the sentence of fine as awarded by the Court below.

The petitioners, if not required in any other case, be released forthwith.

Disposed of accordingly.

April 19, 2022

gian

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking / Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes / No