

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(128)

CWP-22135-2022

Date of Decision : September 23, 2022

Anuradha

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred without following the provisions of the transfer policy as the preferences given by the petitioner has not been taken into consideration while transferring her and that too without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that it is a matter of fact that there are vacant stations, near the present place of posting of the petitioner where the petitioner could have been easily adjusted but the said benefit has not been given to her and the petitioner, who is a Guest Lecturer and is working on a fixed salary, has been transferred to a far off places which will result in financial hardship for her as well.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance vide representation dated 14.09.2022 (Annexure P-3), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that representation of the petitioners 14.09.2022 (Annexure P-3) will be decided within a period of two weeks from the receipt of copy of this order. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case, the petitioner has not been relieved from the present place of posting, she will be allowed to continue at the present place of posting till the decision of the representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

September 23, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No