

Sunder Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

Ajoy Kumar Sinha &Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. S.P. Khera, Advocate for
Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.Dr. SumatiJund, Advocate for
Mr. Sahil Bakshi, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 & 4.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 05.10.2021 in CWP No.20313 of 2021.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.20313 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the respondents to consider legal notice dated 30.08.2021 as representation moved by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case, the petitioner was found entitled and there was no impediment for the release of payment, the same be released to the petitioner without any delay, as per law.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to issuance of notice to respondent Nos.3 and 4, the respondents have decided the claim of the petitioner and released the benefits to him as per

his entitlement, therefore, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

13.05.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No