

220

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-229-2021

Date of decision: 05.07.2022

MAHADEV SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. R. S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, seeking quashing of the transfer order dated 11.09.2020 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that the transfer order was in violation of Section 4(b) of the Punjab Police Act, 2007 and to re-locate the petitioner at the fag end of his career would be difficult.

Pursuant to notice of motion having been issued on 07.01.2021, reply has been filed, which would reveal that the transfer order of the petitioner herein stands withdrawn.

Learned State counsel would submit that the petitioner herein continues to serve in District Patiala and, therefore, would submit that the instant writ petition has rendered infructuous.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the reply so filed.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner herein is to retire within a period of six months i.e. on 31.12.2022, it would be appropriate to allow him to continue on the said post at District Patiala.

With the aforesaid observations, the instant writ petition is disposed of.

05.07.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No