

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.10929 of 2021
Date of Decision:20.01.2022
(Heard through VC)

Dharampal Garg alias Goldy and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate for
Mr. Lalit Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.8 and 9.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to grant protection of life and liberty of petitioner No.1 from the private respondents as they were allegedly threatening petitioner No.1 and his family. It is submitted that petitioner No.1 is being harassed by the private respondents who are making false complaints against the petitioner and his family members.

Pursuant to notice of motion having been issued, appearance has been caused on behalf of the respondents.

A reply has been filed by way of an affidavit of Prithvi Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Dirba, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and 6.

As per the reply filed, a fair and thorough enquiry has been conducted in the said matter. The inquiry officer has concluded that Mithu Ram son of Surat Ram, Biru Mal son of Surat Ram and Prince Garg son of Baisakhi Ram resident of Dirba are being repeatedly complaining against Megh Raj Garg son of Hari Ram Garg etc. In fact, Megh Raj Garg has registered a case against Mithu Ram, Biru Mal, Prince Garg and Baisakhi Ram. Due to this feud, Megh Raj Garg and his family members are being harassed. The Deputy Commissioner Sangrur has made it clear that Prince Garg and other residents of Dirba are making complaints on the basis of false information and wrong facts. On the basis of the enquiry report, Kalandra under Section 66 of the Punjab Police Act has been prepared vide DDR No.20 dated 03.12.2021 against Mithu Ram son of Surat Raj and the same now stands submitted in the Court at Sunam on 08.12.2021. Notice has been issued therein to Mithu Ram for 23.03.2022.

In view of the reply filed and the action taken by the official respondents, no further orders are called for in the instant matter.

Accordingly, the instant petition stands disposed of.

However, while disposing of this petition based on the reply filed, no observations are being made on the merits of any case.

January 20, 2022
P.Bhatt

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No