

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

158

CR-4081-2022

Date of decision: 23.09.2022

AMANDEEP SINGH

..Petitioner

Versus

BALBIR SINGH AND ORS.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Both the Courts have concurrently refused to grant temporary injunction in favour of the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner has failed to fulfil the three well known basic requirements for the grant of temporary injunction i.e *prima facie* good case, balance of convenience and irreparable loss and injury, if the injunction is not granted. It has come on record that the petitioner after taking the property on lease for a period of two months, continues to enjoy the property despite the parties having settled their dispute with the intervention of the respectable members of the village, wherein, the plaintiff undertook to hand over vacant possession as and when the defendant gives him one month notice.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 23rd, 2022

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*