

206-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48307-2021

Date of decision: 30.03.2022

BAAJ SINGH @ BAAJU AND ANR

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Yajur Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

In FIR No.371 of 20.12.2020, registered at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar, offences constituted under Sections 323, 324, 364, 336, 506, 427, 148, 149 of IPC, 1860, and, under Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act, are embodied.

2. The incriminatory role, as assigned to the bail petitioners, is qua theirs with respective users of *kirpan*, and, of *gandasa* theirs causing grievous injuries, on the person of the injured/victim. On previous date(s), an opportunity was given to the petitioners, to ensure the effectuation(s) of recovery(ies), at their respective instances, of the afore incriminatory weapons of offence, to the investigating officer concerned.

3. Today, the learned State counsel, on instructions given to him, by ASI Sukhdev Singh submits, that the bail petitioners have, at their respective instances, ensured hence to the investigating officer concerned, the recoveries of the afore respective weapons of offence, as became allegedly used by them for causing injuries, upon the person of the victim. Moreover, he also submits,

that the relevant investigations appertaining to the petitioners concerned, are complete, and, that very soon a report under Section 173 Cr.P.C., shall become filed before the learned Magistrate concerned, whereupon, this Court does not deem it fit, and, appropriate to order for custodial interrogation of the bail petitioner.

4. In summa the order as made, by this Court, on 01.11.2021, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

5. Disposed of.

30.03.2022

ithlesh

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No