

Phool Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

B.S. Ranga and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Rajnish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satyam Tandon, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 23.08.2021 in CWP-16199-2021

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 23.08.2021 reveals that CWP-16199-2021 was disposed of by directing the respondent-XEN, respondent No.2 herein, to consider the legal notice served by the petitioner upon him in accordance with law, rules, regulations and instructions on the subject and in case of finding that some action in the matter was warranted then the needful be done within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order by passing a speaking order.

3. Reply has been filed on behalf of the respondents alongwith copy of speaking order dated 30.11.2021 rejecting the claim of the petitioner. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned

counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that view of speaking order Annexure R-2, he does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order dated 30.11.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

5. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

17.08.2022

ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>