

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-49734-2022 in/and
CRM-M- 43790-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.12.2022**

Rajesh Goyal

... Petitioner

V/s.

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present : Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana

DEEPAK MANCHANDA, J.(Oral)

CRM-49734-2022

At the very outset learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the main petition, with liberty to avail the alternate remedy as per law.

In view of above, the case which is fixed for 06.01.2023 is taken on board today itself.

Application stands disposed of accordingly.

CRM-M- 43790-2022

This is the first petition filed under Section 438 Cr. P. C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in complaint No. NACT/111/2019 dated 19.01.2019 under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act read with Section 420 IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Sector 5, District

Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition filed seeking anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr. P.C. is not maintainable as other remedy is available as per law and therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to avail alternate remedy in accordance with law.

Allowed as prayed for.

Petition stands dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as afore-said.

Other miscellaneous application, if any, pending as on date are disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)

JUDGE

21.12.2022

seema

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes /No