

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-48344 of 2021**

**DECIDED ON: 12<sup>th</sup> January, 2022**

**Amandeep Singh @ Rinku**

**.....PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**State of Punjab**

**.....RESPONDENT**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.**

Present: Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Mayank Dev Singh, Advocate for complainant.

\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)**

Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in case of FIR No.328 dated 16.10.2020, under Sections 307, 452, 324, 323, 341, 148, 149 IPC and Section 27 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur.

The FIR was registered at the instance of Amandeep Kumar. As per the allegations, on 15.10.2020 Amandeep Singh @ Rinku (petitioner) along with co-accused came to her house in Bolero Car. He had talked with her husband. In the meantime, Kulwinder Singh @ Kindi, also arrived. There was some argument, Amandeep Singh and other two persons gave beatings to Kulwinder Singh @ Kindi and Sandeep Singh her husband. Co-accused Gurpreet Singh fired a shot from the pistol.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 3.11.2020. Matter has been compromised. It is a case of no injury.

**No gun shot was fired.**

Learned State counsel on the last date took time to file reply but no reply has been filed. However, on instructions submits that no empty cartridge was recovered from the spot and there is no MLR of complainant party with regard to injuries sustained.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering the custody period, no recovery is to be made, investigation is complete and the facts that conclusion of trial is likely to take time, bail is granted to the petitioner on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

12<sup>th</sup> January, 2022  
*reema*

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)  
JUDGE

|                                  |            |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes</i> |