

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(256)

CRM-M-44133-2020.
Date of Decision:-30.05.2022.

Raj Pradhan alias Raj Kumari and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court seeking quashing of the FIR No.58 dated 15.05.2018 under Sections 323, 354, 506 and 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Division B, Amritsar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of affidavit/compromise dated 13.11.2020 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Even on the last occasion, nobody had appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

This Court vide order dated 05.01.2021 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 18.01.2021 with the direction to the Magistrate for sending the report. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, has sent his report dated 27.01.2021. A perusal of the same would show that the accused-petitioner appeared before

CRM-M-44133-2020

the trial Court but the complainants i.e. respondent Nos.2 and 3, namely Pearl Jasra and Aditya Sharma did not appear for recording their statements and hence, the compromise alleged is not verified. The petition is pending since 2020. This Court is satisfied that the compromise alleged is not substantiated.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the parties to file avail their remedies available as per law.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

May 30, 2022.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No