

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(138)

CWP-21962-2022

Date of Decision : September 22, 2022

Manoj Kumar and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that two different yardsticks are being employed by the respondents-State while effecting the transfer in technical institute as well as the ITI. Learned senior counsel further submits that the workshop instructor, the strength of 80 is being taken in comparison to the total cadre whereas, the same strength of 80 is being taken into consideration discipline wise in the cadre of Lecturer, hence, two different yardsticks have been adopted for effecting the transfer, which is not permissible as per the transfer policy dated 01.02.2022, hence, the said transfer policy needs to be reconsidered qua the workshop instructor also whether, the total strength of 80 is to be taken discipline wise or of the whole of the cadre.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners further submits the

said grievance has already been raised by the petitioners by filing representations Annexures P-9 and P-10, which are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned and the respondents be directed to decide the same expeditiously by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the representations of the petitioners Annexures P-9 and P-10 will be decided by the authorities concerned within a period of two weeks of the receipt of copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that till the decision of the representations, the transfer, if any done, will not be implemented qua the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, no further grievance of the petitioners survives in the present writ petition and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

September 22, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No