

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.127

**Civil Writ Petition No.21934 of 2022
Date of Decision : September 22, 2022**

Hardeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kumar Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The Collector appointed the fourth respondent as Lambardar. Appeal and revision filed by the petitioner have been dismissed and thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the Collector has not dispassionately considered the comparative merits of the parties. Thus, the order deserved to be set aside. The Appellate and Revisional authorities have ignored this aspect of the case.

With the assistance of the learned counsel for the petitioner, I have perused the order passed by the Collector. He has dealt with the respective merits of all the parties and has chosen a particular candidate. There is no perversity in this order. It is settled law that choice of the Collector in appointment of Lambardar must be respected unless and until it is shown to be perverse.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

September 22, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No