

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9383 of 2017

Date of Decision: 26.04.2022

SUBHASH CHAND MITTAL Petitioner

Vs

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India
with Ms. Saigeeta Srivastava, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Naresh Gopal Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Vipin Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

Ms. Samridhi Sareen, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

Ms. Reeta Kohli, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Vandana, Advocate
for respondent No.8.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

At the time of filing of this writ petition, Registry took
certain objections in respect of maintainability of this petition.

Admittedly, petitioner has pleaded in para no.3 of the
petition that this writ petition is being filed in public interest.

In view of aforesaid factual position this writ petition is

not maintainable before the Single Bench of this Court.

In view of above, this writ petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to comply with the requirement of Rule 6 of Maintainability of Public Interest Litigation Rules, 2010 framed by the High Court before espousing the cause under the public interest litigation.

April 26, 2022

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No