

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201+102

CRM-M-48195-2021(O&M)
Date of Decision : July 12, 2022

JASWANT KAUR AND ANR

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. B.S.Bhalla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Robin Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Mallan, Advocate
for the complainant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition is filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.10 dated 9.10.2021 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station NRI, Ludhiana (Rural).

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that in pursuance to the order passed by this Court on 1.12.2021, the petitioners have already joined the investigation and they have fully co-operated with the investigation process and has prayed that the order dated 1.12.2021 whereby they were granted interim bail may be confirmed.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jasdev Singh has submitted that in pursuance to the order passed by this Court on 1.12.2021, the petitioners have already joined the investigation and they have fully co-operated with the investigation process and he has categorical instructions to say that the petitioners are

not required for custodial investigation.

Mr.Robin Singh, Advocate has appeared for Mr. Sunil Mallan, Advocate for the complainant has stated that the petitioners alongwith their daughter have filed various complaints before the Bar Council and is not permitting the counsel to defend the case and, therefore, has opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Vide order dated 1.12.2021, the petitioners were granted interim bail and as per the learned State counsel, the petitioners have already joined the investigation and are not required for custodial investigation. The objection raised by the learned proxy counsel that the petitioners and their daughter are filing complaints against the counsel and, therefore, their anticipatory bail petition should be dismissed is not sustainable. In case the learned counsel for the complainant has got any grievance then he may raise his grievance at the appropriate forum.

In view of the aforesaid position and specific stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present petition is allowed and order dated 1.12.2021 is, hereby, made absolute.

Since the main case has been disposed of, all the miscellaneous applications shall stand disposed of accordingly.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

July 12, 2022

ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No