

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

354

**CWP-23457-2021 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 15.09.2022.**

Perminder Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana, for respondent No.1.

Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate, for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J.

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for seeking issuance of appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant compensation on account of untimely death of deceased Gurmeet Singh due to electrocution.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contends that the deceased Gurmeet Singh was working as an independent civil contractor/mason and was 46 years of age. He was working at the house of one Ajaib Singh on 01.06.2020, when he came

in contact with 11000 Volt naked wire which was sagging/hanging loose and was hanging extremely close to the house where the construction work was being undertaken. He submits that DDR No.20 dated 01.06.2020 was also registered at Police Station Sadar, Ambala in this regard. He contends that a legal notice was sent on behalf of the petitioners, however, the respondents - UHBVNL have replied to the said legal notice by declining the prayer of the petitioners.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents – UHBVNL contends that the incident is dated 01.06.2020 and that the policy dated 08.07.2019 notified by the respondents - UHBVNL relating to compensation to the victims for fatal/non-fatal accidents was then in force and that in the event of the petitioner submitting his claim before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be considered and an expeditious decision in terms of the above policy shall be taken thereupon.

The aforesaid contention/offer is accepted by the counsel for the petitioners without prejudice to their rights.

The present petition is thus disposed of with the consent of the respective parties and without prejudice to their respective rights and without commenting on the merits of their respective claims granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent authorities for seeking disbursement of compensation in terms of applicable policy.

Needless to mention that in the event of filing of such claim/representation by the petitioner before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be decided expeditiously by the competent authority preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of filing

of the such claim/representation, after granting an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

September 15, 2022
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No