

102

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-5670-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:31.08.2022

Jagdambha and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

Jainendra Gurukul, Panchkula

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Charanpal Singh Bagri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Kamaldeep Kaur, Advocate for

Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate for the respondent.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

CM-18756-CII-2019

This application under Section 5 Limitation Act, read with Section 151 CPC has been filed for condonation of delay of 55 days in filing the appeal.

Heard.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and delay of 55 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

CR-5670-2019 (O&M)

Petitioners have filed this petition to challenge the order dated 12.04.2019 passed by Appellate Authority, Panchkula, thereby affirming the order dated 22.04.2016 passed by Rent Controller, Panchkula, under Section 13 Haryana Urban (Control of Rent & Eviction Act, 1973), whereby they were directed to vacate the demised premises within a period of two months.

Learned counsel for respondent/decreed holder has produced the

copy of the order dated 15.11.2019 passed by Executing Court, whereby the execution was disposed of, as the judgment debtors pursuant to the compromise, surrendered the possession in favour of the decree holder.

In view of above, the revision petition is dismissed as infructuous.

31.08.2022
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No