

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

239

CWP-662-2018

Date of Decision: 15.09.2022

**SHAVET PHOR THROUGH HIS FATHER MAHIPAL SINGH**

... Petitioner

Versus

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana  
for respondents No.1 and 5.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 to 4

\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for seeking issuance of appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus for disbursement of compensation to the petitioner who lost both his arms and received multiples injuries on both legs on account of electrocution that occurred due to negligence on the part of the respondents-authorities.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who was 9 years old as on the date of filing of the present petition, had suffered amputation of both his arms owing to the electrocution, that took place due to snapped electric wires.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4 contends that the incident is dated 07.10.2017 and that the policy dated 18.05.2017 notified by the UHBVNL relating to compensation to the victims

for fatal/non-fatal accidents was then in force and that in the event of the petitioners submitting their claim before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be considered and an expeditious decision in terms of the above policy shall be taken thereupon.

The aforesaid contention/offer is accepted by the counsel for the petitioner without prejudice to his rights.

The present petition is thus disposed of with the consent of the respective parties and without prejudice to their respective rights and without commenting on the merits of their respective claims granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent authorities for seeking disbursement of compensation in terms of applicable policy.

Needless to mention that in the event of filing of such claim/representation by the petitioner before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be decided expeditiously by the competent authority preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of filing of the such claim/representation, after granting an effective opportunity of hearing to the respective parties.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

15.09.2022  
*rajender*

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No

*Whether reportable* : Yes/No