

RAKESH

...Petitioner

versus

MANOJ KUMAR, IAS
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER-
CUM-COLLECTOR, JIND

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Ms. Neha Rana, Advocate for
Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 28.03.2022 in CWP No.28435 of 2019 in case titled as Rakesh vs. State of Haryana and Ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.28435 of 2019 was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide the legal notice dated 15.11.2018 by passing a speaking order within twelve weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Notice has yet not been issued in the instant case. However, Learned DAG has produced copy of speaking order dated 18.07.2022 rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 15.11.2018. Copy of the same has already been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays

for grant of liberty to challenge order dated 18.07.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.09.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No