

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

123

CWP No.22048 of 2022

Date of decision : 23.09.2022

Satyabir Singh

....Petitioner

V/s

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner restricts his prayer for deciding the legal notice dated 12.04.2022 (Annexure P-6). He would submit that the petitioner would be satisfied, at this stage, if the said legal notice is decided by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner. Reliance has also been placed on the law settled by the Full Bench of this Court in ***A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab and others, 1997 (3) SCT 468***, and other judgments following the said ratio.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

Mr. Indresh Goel, Senior Panel Counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and waives service. She would submit that the respondent No.3/Competent Authority will look into the matter and will take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioner in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

Without expressing any opinion or going into the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the present petition or in the legal notice, respondent No.3/Competent Authority is directed to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice dated 12.04.2022 (Annexure P-6), in

accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to observe that in case, after the decision, petitioner is found entitled for any benefits, the same be paid to him within a period of four weeks thereafter, as per law.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(VIKAS SURJ)
JUDGE

September 23, 2022
Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No