

[261] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2890-2020(O&M)
Date of Decision :02.08.2022

Gaurav Gaur s/o Shri Jai Kaan Sharma ...Petitioner

versus

Dr. S.S. Phulia, IAS, State Transport
Commissioner, HaryanaRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.D. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Karan Garg, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 12.02.2020, in CWP No.23440 of 2019 in case titled as '**Gaurav Gaur** versus **State of Haryana and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that CWP No.23440 of 2019, was disposed of by directing the respondent to treat the petition as a representation and give a reasoned decision in accordance with law within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order after hearing the petitioner.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to order (Annexure P-1), the respondent has passed order (Annexure R-1) dated 18.02.2021, rejecting the claim of the petitioner, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order (Annexure R-1) dated 18.02.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] Learned Asstt. AG, Haryana, states that he has no objection to the prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

[5] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.08.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No