

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

267

CRM-M-43934-2022

Date of Decision: 07.12.2022

Anmol Kumar and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: - Mr. M.K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Ritesh K. Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for quashing cross-case bearing GD No. 036 dated 26.06.2021 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 325, 323, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Model Town, District Hoshiarpur, in case FIR No. 0151 dtd 22.06.2021 (Annexure P-2) registered under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Model Town, District Hoshiarpur and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 24.08.2022 (Annexure P-3).

Pursuant to the order dated 22.09.2022 passed by this Court, the parties appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur, to get their statements recorded. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur, has submitted his report along with statements of

the parties vide letter No. 249 dated 29.10.2022 duly forwarded by the learned District and Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur vide letter No. 16328 dated 01.11.2022.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the relevant record.

It is now well settled that the High Court has inherent power to quash the criminal proceedings in non-compoundable cases on the basis of settlement between the parties for securing the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process where the possibility of conviction is remote and bleak and continuation of the criminal case would put the accused to great oppression and prejudice and extreme injustice would be caused to him by not quashing the criminal case. Criminal cases having overwhelmingly and predominantly civil character particularly those arising out of commercial transaction or arising out of matrimonial relationship or family dispute can be quashed when the parties have resolved their entire dispute among themselves. However, such power cannot be exercised in those prosecutions which involve heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like murder, rape dacoity, etc. which are not private in nature and have a serious impact on society. Similarly, prosecution for offences alleged to have been committed under special enactments like the Prevention of Corruption Act or the offences committed by public servant while working in that capacity cannot be quashed on the basis of compromise between the victim and the offender. For judicial precedents in this regard, reference may be made to ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and anr., 2012 (4) RAJ***

549: Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab (Supreme Court) : 2014 (2) RCR (Criminal) 482, State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan and others (Supreme Court) : 2019 (2) RCR (Criminal) 255 and Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others (Punjab and Haryana High Court) : 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052.

According to the report, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur, is satisfied that the parties have voluntarily compromised the matter at hand and the same is genuine and has not been induced by undue influence or pressure.

Considering the report of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur and the fact that the compromise will bring peace and harmony between the parties, aforesaid cross-case bearing GD No. 036 dated 26.06.2021 (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed, qua petitioners, only.

Disposed of, accordingly.

December 07, 2022

rishu

**(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No