

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

201

CWP No.24566 of 2019

Date of Decision: 05.09.2022

Meenu Bala

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arpandeeep Narula, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. AG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the petitioner is challenging the order of termination dated 23.07.2019 (Annexure P-4) by which, the services of the petitioner who was working as Extension Lecturer were terminated. The petitioner raised the said grievance before this Court that the respondents were transferring regular employees at the place where the Extension Lecturers are working and their services are being terminated by pick and choose method hence as the workload exists at the place where the petitioner was working at the time of termination, the petitioner may be allowed to continue at that place only.

While issuing notice of motion, the operation of the termination order dated 23.07.2019 was stayed and the petitioner continued to be in service even as now.

Learned counsel by placing reliance upon the reply filed in the present petition submits that for adjusting the Extension Lecturer whose services were terminated, a policy has been issued by the Government of Haryana on 04.03.2020, which has been further amended on 11.05.2022. He

further submits that the claim of the petitioner will be considered under the

said policy and in case, the workload for allowing the petitioner to continue at the present place of posting exists, the same will be examined and if found meritorious, she will be allowed to continue in service till the work exists at the present place of posting failing which, the claim of the petitioner will be considered as to whether she can be adjusted at any other place where the workload of the post of Extension Lecturer in the subject of Commerce exists so as to continue with the services of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that till the said exercise is undertaken, the petitioner will be allowed to continue at the present place of posting.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives and the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed.

Ordered accordingly.

05.09.2022
manju

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No