

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.2215 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-05.01.2022

Rakesh Saini

.....Revisionist-Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent.

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Inderjeet Singh Chawla, Advocate
for the revisionist-petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl. A.G, Haryana.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the revisionist-petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the same has become infructuous.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed as withdrawn for having been rendered infructuous.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

January 05, 2022
Yag Dutt

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*

Whether Reportable: *No*