

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8748 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 24.05.2022

M/s Guru Nanak Rice Mill

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and others

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate for
Mr. Jeevan Gautam, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Kanwar, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Rajesh Garg, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Neha Matharoo, Advocate, for FCI.

Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Athar Ahmed, Advocate
for respondents No.5 and 6.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties could not dispute the fact that there is indeed a clause available for arbitration in case of any dispute between the parties and therefore, the same being the position, the parties are relegated to avail of the remedy of arbitration.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

All the pending misc. applications stand disposed of.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

24.05.2022

Harish

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No