

VARINDER SINGH

... PETITIONER

V/S

RAJVINDER KAUR

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Sourabh Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has assailed the order dated 25.07.2022 passed by the Court of learned Addl. Principal Judge, Family Court, Patiala vide which interim maintenance @ Rs.5,000/- p.m. has been awarded to the respondent on account of interim maintenance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not disputed the relationship between the parties. It has also not been disputed that the petitioner is working as OT Technician in Global Eye Hospital, Patiala and his earning is to the tune of Rs. 17,000/- p.m. It has been submitted that a child born from the wedlock is in custody of the petitioner and the amount of interim maintenance awarded by the Court below is on the higher side.

Prima facie, there is nothing to indicate that the respondent has any source of income and is able to maintain herself. In the event, the petitioner has to look after her son and parents, it cannot be termed to be a circumstance to absolve him from the liability to provide maintenance and support to his wife. In the present days, the prices are sky rocketing and the

be excessive or not commensurate with the income and status of the petitioner.

No ground for interference in the impugned order made out.

Dismissed.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

21.09.2022

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No