

**319 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-4118-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.12.2022

Tek Ram

....Petitioner

Versus

Central Government of India and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Arun Singal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The Reference court has dismissed the reference petition after finding that there is a delay of nearly one year in submitting the application to the Land Acquisition Collector under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as the '1894 Act').

A Larger Bench of the Supreme Court in **Mohd. Hasnuddin vs. State of Maharashtra (1979) 2 SCC 572** has held that neither the Land Acquisition Collector nor the Tribunal of special jurisdiction has the power to condone the delay in filing the application under Section 18 of the 1894 Act. The Supreme Court, while examining the matter, answered the three questions posed before them.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner failed to draw the attention of the Court to any divergent view. Hence, the revision petition is dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

06.12.2022
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No